



# Colorado Natural Areas Program



1313 Sherman Street, Room 618 • Denver, Colorado 80203 • (303) 866-3203

---

## Colorado Natural Areas Council Meeting Minutes Denver, CO December 6, 2007

**Council (Present):** Kathy Yates, Lee Shropshire, Dennis Buechler, Renee Rondeau, Tom Ready, Jill Osarki, Mike Bloom (not yet confirmed on SLB)

**Council (Absent):**

**CNAP FTE Staff:** Rob Billerbeck, Brian Kurzel

**CNAP seasonals:** Laura Smith

**Others:** Linda Maloney, Friends of CNAP; Britt Weygandt, Director SLB (joined during break)

Meeting called to order at 2:40pm

### **1. Natural Areas Council Orientation:**

**Presentation about Council/Program responsibilities in legislation, and then information about current projects including the new Parks staff visitation of Natural Areas.**

#### ***State Parks Staff Monitoring:***

**MB and KY:** Are the Park staff monitoring CNAP sites outside parks as well as inside?

**RB:** Parks staff will visit natural areas even if they are off park land.

#### ***Triennial Report:***

**LS:** Does the governor ever read the report?

**RB:** The first report was huge, but the latest was short and pretty, so that's the way to go. Traditionally they have just been sent over without much process. It would be good this time to work with Harris to make it more of an event.

**KY:** When will the report be submitted?

**RB:** Spring or Summer.

**KY:** We need to get that on the calendar now.

**RB:** We need ideas for how to go about getting attention with the report. If we could use the , delivery of the report strategically, it could help to boost the possibility of getting the Governor to a signing ceremony later in the year.. Some options are to roll out the report at a CNAC meeting where Harris is invited, doing it at a Parks board meeting. Again we'd like to start working toward setting up a signing ceremony, but the timeline for designation of Boulder Mountain is difficult to predict at this time, so its hard to know when to go to Harris



## Colorado Natural Areas Program



1313 Sherman Street, Room 618 • Denver, Colorado 80203 • (303) 866-3203

---

or what the steps would be to set up the ceremony. Once we have an idea of the true timeline, then I will try to get Larry Kramer and Gary Thorson's help and Harris Sherman's help to make it happen.

**Discussion of triennial report. Purpose, as written in statute, is to review status/condition of Natural Areas and see if they are degrading or need to be de-designated.**

### *De-Designation*

**RB: The program has not really looked actively to de-designate areas through that triennial review process.**

**LS:** Why would we not want to remove an area from designation?

**RB:** Great question, but can we put on next meeting as discussion item?

**KY:** Put it as an agenda item.

**DB:** It's a good question. As we get more information about the site we might discover that it's not the best site for a certain rare plant population or other natural element.

**RB:** That has certainly happened at some sites. Things do move around, such as wildlife and even rare plant populations. As we designate new areas, we need to think more about dynamic meta-populations, but again, this is a discussion we might want to have when we have more time.

Discussion of comment processes Natural Areas is working on, and some limitations in what the program can do.

### *Natural Areas Act*

**KY:** Can CNAP not influence water projects because it was how it was written originally?

**RB:** There is a particular prohibition about commenting on development projects on private lands. I'm not sure if it was in the original or part of an amendment in 1980s. In the 1980s there were comments from on projects like I-70 and some water projects that caught people's attention, and this may have been when the clause was added.

**MB:** Can we comment on NA sites if they're private?

**RB:** Yes, that's how I understand the writing. The prohibition doesn't apply if the site is designated as a Natural Area. It only applies on private land that is NOT designated.

Discussion of Council structure and how Chair and Vice Chair need to be out of the 4 Governor appointed spots.

### *CNAP Council*

**KY:** The Chair position has 1 year terms elected by a majority of the members. We did vote for John.



# Colorado Natural Areas Program



1313 Sherman Street, Room 618 • Denver, Colorado 80203 • (303) 866-3203

**RB:** OK, I hadn't realized that. I don't think we kept up with that with John's Chairmanship. When did the Council vote for you as Chair?

**KY:** August.

**RB:** Ok, we will keep track of that.

Discussion of the term 'enforcement' in the Natural Areas Act.

### *Council enforcement*

**LS:** What power do we have to enforce a designation?

**RB:** We can do as much as we are able given our agreement up to the point where the party might want to de-designate. Or we could make it clear we would consider de-designation if the site degrades.

**LS:** The power of suggestion/persuasion may be a powerful tool.

**RB:** I agree, actually de-designating should only be used as a last resort.

### *Summer field trip CNAC meetings*

**KY:** Staff goes above and beyond to organize these trips, but the council can do more to help prepare for summer field meetings.

**LS:** Agreed.

**RB:** Sounds great, we would definitely welcome the help.

### *General CNAC*

**KY:** Our responsibilities as CNAC members: Representatives from Wildlife, Parks, Land Commission – we want your perspectives, and for you to represent CNAP back to your respective boards. I don't know how heavily this has been done in the past, but we're looking for better exposure, communication, ways to make ourselves available, and to integrate the priorities of programs that CNAC supports. In future meetings we can address gaps in understanding so that CNAC/CNAP can pursue and strengthen ties to these agencies.

## **2. General Introductions:**

**KY:** Tom, is there an update on your tenure with the Parks Board?

**TR:** Time will tell.

**KY:** Would it be helpful to you for CNAC to write letter?

**TR:** Yes. I supported CNAC when they wanted to disband it.

**BK:** We'll get Kathy some letterhead to write a letter to the Parks Board.

**JO:** I'm a governor appointee, director of the CO Coalition of Land Trusts. Lately we've been dealing with a media firestorm. Conservation easements have become controversial but we are working through the problem since the problem rests outside of CCLT.

**RB:** At one of the next council meeting we should discuss which natural areas have easements on them and what would happen if they were removed.

**KY:** A worthwhile agenda topic: easements overall, their effect and implication.



## Colorado Natural Areas Program



1313 Sherman Street, Room 618 • Denver, Colorado 80203 • (303) 866-3203

**MB:** I'm not confirmed yet, but confirmation will be this winter. I'm involved in the Colorado Audubon Society and Evergreen Audubon. My husband is president of the Audubon Board. We're birders. I'm also an amateur botanical illustrator. There can be a link between CNAP and Colorado Birds website participants. There are great opportunities for more publicity and interest.

**TR:** I don't need any cards

**DB:** I don't need cards either, I have lots from the Wildlife Commission.

**KY:** It's great to have a full board, everyone will make a great contribution. I invite everyone to ask questions, give ideas to help the program, ideas about ways to go with the program. The program has been in transition. Our funding and council was in question. Rob and friends put forth a tremendous effort. Harris Sherman is a strong supporter. We're now in a very good position. It's a good time to move forward with ideas.

### **3. Introduction to CHNP:**

**RR:** Dave Anderson from our group was on the council.

CNHP: 40 projects/yr, 80 contracts, grants for funding, sometimes RFPs.

In 1970s the Nature Conservancy had too many requests, hired Bob Jenkins (in Boulder), who designed CNHP. The core heritage methodology he developed is still used.

27 staff in CO. Most people on staff >5yrs.

**KY:** What prompts where you study?

**RR:** We try to drive it to some extent but seldom can. Normally the funder drives it. Some funders work within a big area and we focus the attention. Ex. Pinyon Canyon maneuver site, 1 million acres, mostly on private land. 360 person days by CNHP staff.

We track close to 1000 species and communities for CO.

92 G1/G2 spp of plants, 52 endemic, 16 with high threat score, <100,000 acres for all G1/G2 plants.

**JO:** How much of the state has been surveyed?

**RR:** There are places that we expect that if we could get on we would find more plants. But I can't image the land area for rare plants would go over 200,000 acres.

**DB:** I think 100,000 acres is high. How fine a resolution are you using?

**RR:** Each polygon includes the whole area to include where plants are found. It's a decent estimate of the area that is needed to protect the plants. Roughly 75% CO land has been surveyed.

**JO:** Public vs private land?

**RR:** The category with the highest number of rare plants is BLM land. If we surveyed more private land, the number of rare plants in that category could double.

**JO:** Do you have a good sense of what's on land in the state beyond G1/G2?

**RR:** If you asked me, do we need to survey more land, I'd say yes. If you asked me, do we have enough info to make conservation decisions, I'd say yes.

**RB:** Who has better info than CNHP? No one.



## Colorado Natural Areas Program



1313 Sherman Street, Room 618 • Denver, Colorado 80203 • (303) 866-3203

**JO:** There's been a discussion to restrict conservation easements to only areas where CNHP has surveyed.

**RR:** That's not reasonable. What if CNHP wasn't out there when the rare element was blooming/active? Not only out there at the right time but did we make multiple visits? It's not reasonable to say just because CNHP hasn't identified a rare element there the area shouldn't have an easement.

**MB:** Would a chart for other taxa be similar in its breakdown between land owners?

**RR:** No. Birds would have the highest number on private land. Mammals would be potentially highest on forest service land.

Discussion of the Rare Plant Policy Analysis. Using CNHP data to determine locations of G1 and G2 plants on State Land.

**KY:** Do you have the info to overlay natural areas with the CNHP data?

**RB:** We have just purchased the latest CNHP data, but the analysis of the full implications will take a few months.

**MB:** Does the State Land Board have the ability to do this?

**RB:** We are interested in doing an analysis for plants covering SLB, and have discussed this with Britt. SLB could set up this process on their own. Bill Martin has done some of this environmental clearance analysis with CNHP data in the past.

**KY:** It would be good to have this info for funding requests.

**LS:** Question: what's the difference between CNAP and CNHP?

**RB:** CNHP was originally under CNAP. CNHP is doing work that is critical for new Natural Area identification. The find the rare elements, but we do the follow-through to protect them. We base our boundaries of potential Natural Areas on CNHP's potential conservation areas.

**KY:** The 2000 strategic plan compares CNAP, CDOW and CNHP.

**RB:** I think it's in the Appendices to the Strategic Plan. We can dig it out and update it. Over future council meetings we can bring in different people from different organizations to speak to those differences and areas of common goals.

**KY:** Our budget requires that we show what CNAP is doing that isn't being taken care of by other organizations. How many other organizations are dependent on CNAP?

**RB:** We don't have that now but it's a fantastic idea. We will try to do that analysis of what services/values we provide to others, but that will take some time.

**RR:** We're working to get the MOA so we can transfer data between CNAP and CNHP.

### **5 min break (4:11pm)**

**RB:** We should get the agenda to KY earlier next time. We should have a phone conversation about the agenda items and time planned for discussions for next time, to make sure we are addressing everything needed in appropriate time periods.

### **4. Update on Registrations and Designations:**

---

#### STATE OF COLORADO • NATURAL AREAS PROGRAM

Bill Ritter, Governor • Harris Sherman, Exec. Director, Dept. of Natural Resources • Lyle Laverty, Director, State Parks  
Colorado Natural Areas Council: John Masson, Chair • Kathy Yates, Vice-Chair • Dennis Brinker, Board of Land  
Commissioners • Tom Burke, Wildlife Commission • Dr. Tom Ready, State Parks Board • Tyler Nifong, Member  
• Dr. Lee Shropshire, Member



## Colorado Natural Areas Program



1313 Sherman Street, Room 618 • Denver, Colorado 80203 • (303) 866-3203

---

### BOULDER MOUNTAIN PARK

In April, the council voted to approve its designation.

**BK:** It's a CNHP PCA, a biodiversity hotspot.

Status – taken to OSMP leadership. OSMP Director, Mike Patton, received the letter. Rob is meeting OSMP board trustees next week. The City Council meets in January. At the end of January or February we can hopefully approve and sign the AOD, then go the County Commissioners and see if they want to do a public hearing.

**DB:** We could have a ceremony there for that.

**KY:** If we want to do it in the spring we need to start talking about it with EDO now.

**RB:** Yes, but the timeline is still a little unpredictable, it has to go through 3 or 4 more approval steps.

### WACKER RANCH

**RB:** We've sent a letter to the Montrose County Commissioners, they didn't request a public hearing, now we can take it before the Parks Board, in their Feb 21<sup>st</sup>/22<sup>nd</sup> meeting, get on the agenda and sign off the designation.

**DB:** Is there any interest in an easement?

**RB:** We own it, so that is not needed on the land, but we could try for an easement on the adjacent land but it might be more trouble than it's worth. The adjacent ranch is being sold, but the strip adjacent has wetlands that are unlikely to be developed anyway. We did get the owner and the real estate to list the property as "good for a conservation buyer" just in case that becomes possible.

**DB:** Who is the official owner?

**RB:** Parks. A no trespassing regulation may need to go to the Parks Board. TNC is managing the site for 25 years.

### LAKE PUEBLO

**BK:** Most of the habitat is on the barrens habitat. There are 8 rare plants in the State Park and SWA. 6 CNHP-tracked communities. We are still in discussions with the SWA.

**RB:** We are in the process with DOW – we have worked with people on ground, spent \$10,000 to document plants. We'll meet with Tom Nesler's staff, then meet with the AWM, Mike Trujillo, then if it is good with him we'll meet with the region manager or present at the region staff meeting. We may then ask DB to help with presenting to DOW director and commission. At that point we could propose registration. Does that sounds like the appropriate steps?

**DB:** If you have a timeline we can get it on the workshop agenda, 4 months from now or whenever.

**RB:** Once we meet with Mike we will send DB an email and get into the workshop.

**DB:** As part of the process, Tom used to be the CNAC rep from Wildlife Commission, maybe we should discuss it with him. I'm new at the Wildlife Commission, we can ask Tom to get this into the loop before he leaves.



## Colorado Natural Areas Program



1313 Sherman Street, Room 618 • Denver, Colorado 80203 • (303) 866-3203

**RB:** He leaves in March or April.

**BK:** DOW is half of the property. All property is BOR owned. The Parks managed side has moved faster. We received the letter requesting registration from Larry Kramer, deputy director of State Parks, for all of Lake Pueblo State Park to be registered.

**DB:** Does BOR need to co-sign the registration request?

**RB:** We need a letter from them too. For other sites in past, the federal land owner didn't sign, but we shouldn't do that, we need letters from both to be safe.

**BK:** We contacted Mike Collins at the eastern CO BOR office. Our understanding is that they will approve the registration letter, and may send it within a week or two.

**RB:** When council votes on this, we won't have letter in hand, but it is verbally promised, so I suggest CNAC votes "tentative to receipt of letter", but I prefer that CNAC votes and not defer the issue. There is a proposal to raise the dam in future, there will be political discussion and it will involve the water district. We're doing an analysis now, but we need registration to fully have information out on the table. 75%-90% of the important values of the area will not be effected by the flooding. Documentation of paleontological values needs to happen in the spring or summer.

### TWO BUTTES

**RB:** It has been up for registration since 1977 and probably hasn't gone forward because it spreads over 2 county boundaries and 4 land owners. We have a letter of registration from Barnhardt, one of the private land owners. The best plants are on his site. We've been doing field studies. We haven't been able to engage the other private landowner, Hasser, in conversations about registration. SLB and DOW are in the works. Right now we are asking the council to register the Barnhardt private piece. There are fewer issues here than with Lake Pueblo. DOW is thrilled with the registration.

### 5. Staff Report on 2007 Field Season

### 6. Staff Report on priority projects and funding needs/ current policy and funding issues:

#### SPECIES CONSERVATION TRUST FUND (SCTF)

**RB:** DOW and Tom Nesler are aware of CNAP's funding requests.

**JO:** Where does \$ come from?

**RB:** Originally from lottery and severance tax, now from severance tax and Henderson mine.

**RR:** Only state agencies can get it.

**RB:** During the Spring hearing I might ask for CNAC help. \$240,000 is requested for four projects. One of which is a 3 yr full time biologist position. We're working now how to fund the position after 3 yrs.

**KY:** Could you just do a limited term?

**RB:** That's my worse case scenario. It's possible but not desirable. We could do a cooperative position with CNHP. That's my fallback stance.



## Colorado Natural Areas Program



1313 Sherman Street, Room 618 • Denver, Colorado 80203 • (303) 866-3203

**KY:** It's a fallback if you can't get funding for after the 3 years.

**RB:** Parks leadership is very likely to support it the FTEs as part of the Species Conservation Trust bill. There is another severance tax bill that is a separate request for State Parks funding, that is important to the Parks budget and hence to Natural Areas budget. If State Parks gets severance tax, then the pie is larger for State Parks budget, and there will be less issue with the budget for CNAP. There's potential for CNAC to help the program by meeting with Parks Board and Parks Leadership. We should have a follow-up discussions with KY, emails to council, teleconference to plan for Feb or April parks board meeting.

**KY:** When is the budget submittal?

**RB:** April for some funds, August for others. General fund money is before the legislature in April and gets finally allocated in May. This would be the time to get in new proposals for the following year. We need all proposals in and solidly backed by Aug when the next year's GOCO budget is proposed. If we get into the Parks Board Feb meeting, and have our proposals by April, then we're solidly in the picture by Aug.

**JO:** We've talked about how we can help in SCTF column, what about "funding still needed"?

**RB:** The major funds we have to work with are GOCO, lottery, and severance tax if the legislature approves it. There are really the only major funds available to State Parks. Cash and general funds are a harder sell. To fund FTEs from Parks Cash funding but then we have to compete with every park.

**DB:** We should have a special meeting in Jan to cover the budget carefully.

**KY:** I move to vote and schedule meetings at the end.

### **7. Items from the Council:**

#### **CNAC MEETING WITH PARKS BOARD TO DISCUSS FUNDING**

**KY:** We want to meet with the Parks Board about CNAP funding but lots of preparation is needed. I recommended a supplemental meeting by teleconferencing.

**RB:** We can defer to the April Parks Board meeting, it's still a reasonable time. It's a bad idea to bring this to the Board before the Council is well versed.

**LS:** JBC?

**RB:** General fund is not a likely source.

**KY:** What are the April Parks Board Meeting dates?

**RB:** April 17<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> in Ft. Collins. Before then, the next council meeting could be at the Parks Board location and we can have dinner with Parks, then set the stage for April meeting.

**KY:** Feb 21<sup>st</sup> and 22<sup>nd</sup>?

**RB:** Yes. In Colorado Springs.

**KY:** Agreement – meet in Feb prior to April meeting. We want to be on the April Parks Board meeting agenda.

#### **REGISTRATION OF LAKE PUEBLO STATE PARK**

**KY:** Procedure: someone proposes the motion, someone else seconds it, then everyone votes.



# Colorado Natural Areas Program



1313 Sherman Street, Room 618 • Denver, Colorado 80203 • (303) 866-3203

We should get the meeting minutes for this meeting soon so we don't forget what we talked about. We won't formally sign them until we're back in session. If you have any changes to the minutes, forward them to Laura. If you have a big difference, talk to Brian or Rob.

**DB:** Shall we motion now to approve staff recommendations?

**KY:** LPSP and TUBU are registration motions. Then we have to approve the Aug and Oct meeting minutes. Only TB, LS and KY were present for Aug. JO and DB were also present at Oct.

**RB:** More than 2 or 3 council members together make it an official meeting, and we need minutes.

**LS:** I move that we register LPSP to be a natural area.

**DB:** Second.

**KY:** Contingent on receipt of the letter.

**BK:** We will send an email when we get the letter.

All in favor, none opposed.

**DB:** At the Wildlife Commissioner we just ask if the vote has changed from the last vote or can we use everyone's previous vote.

**KY:** We'll take it under advisement.

## REGISTRATION OF TWO BUTTES NATURAL AREA

**LS:** I move to register TWBU.

**RR:** Second.

**LS:** With the understanding that we need to define the boundaries.

**BK:** We don't define boundaries until later.

**RB:** You could say contingent on pursuing registration of the other parts of the site.

**LS:** Agreed.

All in favor, none opposed.

## APPROVAL OF AUGUST MEETING MINUTES

**LS:** I move to approve Aug 24<sup>th</sup> meeting minutes.

**KY:** Second.

All in favor, none opposed.

## APPROVAL OF OCTOBER MEETING MINUTES

**KY:** Motion to approve Oct meeting minutes.

**LS:** Abstain.

**RB:** I still need to make a few cosmetic changes to the minutes .



## Colorado Natural Areas Program



1313 Sherman Street, Room 618 • Denver, Colorado 80203 • (303) 866-3203

---

**LS:** We can approve pending those changes.

**JO:** Motion to approve pending name changes.

**DB:** Second.

All in favor, none apposed (LS abstained)

**KY:** We have gotten through the meeting minutes and items for council.

### SPRING 2008 COUNCIL MEETING

**DB:** So our quarterly meeting will be in April?

**RB:** We'll end up with an extra meeting next year.

**KY:** We've established the quarterly meeting. Feb will be the extra meeting. Our meeting will be April 17<sup>th</sup> or 18<sup>th</sup>. We'll meet both separately of Parks and with Parks.

**RB:** I'll work with the Board to see where we fit in and coordinate with Dr. Tom.

### **8. Friends Update:**

**KY:** Other items?

**RB:** I can give you 3 minutes of Friend Raiser. Friend-Raiser Nov 8<sup>th</sup>. Great success. Harris Sherman gave a speech laying out the future for CNAP heard by Parks Board and Leadership. Harris Sherman relayed the same info to Dean recently. We got many applications for new Friends, including some for the new board. We want to do a meeting with CNAC to discuss the new Friends Board, and one with Jeff Shoemaker to set up a charter for how the Board will work. We should have at least one CNAC member on the Friends Board – KY has volunteered. We will give the proposed charter for Friends Board to CNAC at meeting in Feb.

**KY:** Does CNAC have input into the Friends Board and their charter?

**RB:** Well, we have no formal structure right now. We have talked to Lynn, Linda, Mark Gershman. Since we're newly forming this Board, CNAC has an opportunity for input.

**LS:** I was member of the Friends group in-between CNAC terms. Our mission was what we decided, we didn't have input from CNAC or CNAP staff.

**KY:** So do you think that we should or should not give input?

**LS:** I think that we should definitely offer suggestions.

**RB:** If there's lots of input by CNAC and CNAP staff, the Friends group will work well with the program. If there isn't, there is chance for it to go off in different direction. Then there's the 501c3 issue.

**JO:** Is it a registered 501C3?

**RB:** It hasn't been, but now we have a sub-account of the Parks Foundation being formed. The account is "Friends of Natural Areas". It is to our advantage for 3 council members to make suggestions for funding to Parks Foundation. Make a charter, a proposed business plan/mission.

**JO:** I want to be available to help, but I'm worried about the upcoming legislative session. After the next 5 months I can help within an advisory position.



## Colorado Natural Areas Program



1313 Sherman Street, Room 618 • Denver, Colorado 80203 • (303) 866-3203

**RB:** The next thing is for me and KY to discuss this together, then talk with current Friends, then we can get together with Jeff Shoemaker to discuss it.

**RB:** We have about \$2000-\$3,000 raised, still needs to be counted, and an improved mailing list for the Friends group. We have improvements in mind if we do another Friend-raiser, like have it last longer into the evening. I also just want to say that we're so delighted to have the new additions to CNAC. The members experience and support will make for a strong force of a council, we're covering all the major technical and political bases. There are big changes right now that are really exciting.

**LS:** The council needs a representative from CO Geological Survey, not just someone off the street like me.

**KY:** As a formal appointment?

**RB:** That would require a change to the Act, but we can discuss potential needs to alterations to the act in a future council meeting.

**LS:** Half of the areas are there because of their geological value. Not having a geologist on the Council leaves a big gap.

**RB:** This is true with staff as well. We need a geologist on staff.

**DB:** Can we add a CGS person as a non-voting member?

**LS:** That would give us 8 members, but we could.

**KY:** Should be an agenda item for next meeting.

### **9. Public Comment:**

**KY:** We'll open it up for public comments now.

**LM:** Do currently active members of Friends have a say in how the Friends Board is formed?

**RB:** I know to invite you and Lynn and Mark Gershman, but the question is should we send something out to all registered Friends, or involve only those that have been more active?

**KY:** Do we want to do democratic vote, or have it be voluntary?

**RB:** We have asked who wants to be involved, but haven't contacted the interested parties yet with details, as we don't know them yet.

**KY:** What about people not at the meeting?

**RB:** We mailed and called everyone for the Friendraiser and asked in the materials "Are you interested in being on the Board?"

**LM:** Yes, but I wonder if that got buried.

**RB:** Maybe we need another communication to go out.

**KY:** You should follow up on the success of the Friendraiser and do one more call out for officers of the Board.

**JO:** You should do one mail just for officers, very simple: you're forming Friends Board, getting priorities together for next year, do you want to be involved as an officer or in another form, and have a series of questions for people who are interested to answer. Have a selection process with criteria you can use to evaluate applicants in case you get more than 3.

**JO:** There aren't any by-laws yet?



## Colorado Natural Areas Program



1313 Sherman Street, Room 618 • Denver, Colorado 80203 • (303) 866-3203

---

**RB:** Not yet.

**JO:** Comes from the foundation. Need vote from foundation.

**RB:** We'll meet with Jeff when we have materials ready.

**LM:** The Rocky Mountain Society of Botanical Artists are doing a Painting of CO 2009 exhibit, and would like to work with CNAP for fundraising.

**KY:** Do we want to discuss a presence at the CO State Fair? Should we get it on the agenda? We should put time with the governor on the next agenda.

### **10. Adjourn:**

**KY:** We should move to end the meeting.

**RR:** I move to close meeting.

**JO:** Second.

All in favor, none opposed

Adjourned 5:45 pm

Respectfully submitted:

---

Brian Kurzel for Laura Smith

Approved:

---

Kathy Yates, Chair